C	ase 3:1			Page 1 of 1 PageID 262
			IE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXA DALLAS DIVISION	II C Dicertain a street
UNITE	D STAT	TES OF AMERICA)	AUG 2 2 2017
VS.)	CASE ND.: 3:16-CR-288-M (02)
CHRISTOPHER HEATH WRIGHT, Defendant.			,)	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT By Deputy
			EPORT AND RECOMMENDATION	Deputy
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY				
each of the offense be adju	the subjects of the subject of the s	ects mentioned in Rule 1 harged is supported by a fore recommend that the lity of Count 1 of the Inc	nd examining CHRISTOPHER HEATH 1, I determined that the guilty plea was kn an independent basis in fact containing ea e plea of guilty be accepted, and that CH dictment, that is, Conspiracy to Commit imposed accordingly. After being found	nowledgeable and voluntary and that ch of the essential elements of such RISTOPHER HEATH WRIGHT t Bank and Mail Fraud, a violation
×	The defendant is currently in custody and should be ordered to remain in custody.			
	The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1) unless the Court finds by clarand convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or community if released.			
		I find by clear and conv	not oppose release. In compliant with the current conditions of vincing evidence that the defendant is not inmunity if released and should therefore	likely to flee or pose a danger to any
			ses release. been compliant with the conditions of relist recommendation, this matter should be	

The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) unless (1)(a) the Court finds there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted, or (b) the Government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed, or (c) exceptional circumstances are clearly shown under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained, and (2) the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to allege or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released.

Date: August 22, 2017.

Government.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE

Failure to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).